

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72527 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1397 Year-2024 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

1. Subodh Sharma @ Subodh Kumar Sharma S/O Babulal Sharma R/o vill.- Lagurav, P.S.- Mahua, Distt- Vaishali.
2. Raju Kumar S/O Subodh Sharma @ Subodh Kumar Sharma R/o vill.- Lagurav, P.S.- Mahua, Distt- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351, 352, 74, 76 and 303(2) of B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no.2 is a young boy, aged about 19 years and the informant alleges that on 02.11.2024 at 05:30 p.m., the accused persons came and started abusing on account of existing dispute, on protest, Vinod assaulted her by sword causing injury on head, while Subodh



assaulted her by rod causing injury on head, further Raju assaulted by butt of pistol, thereafter Raju and Subodh assaulted her son Lav Kush Kumar by sword causing injury on head and acted inappropriately with Stuti Kumari while Rahul and Raju snatched chain worth Rs.80,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of existing land dispute in between the parties. It is further submitted that petitioners and the informant are agnates and are having dispute relating to property. It is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to land, an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is further submitted that even injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple as pleaded at para-8 of the anticipatory bail application. It is next submitted that parties have also compromised the case as would manifest from Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, let petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court



within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No.1397 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

