

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74001 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-105 Year-2025 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

=====

Vinay Das Son of Nand Kishore Das Resident Of Village -Domodih, P.s.
-Amarpur Fulli Dumar , District -Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Nandan Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 02-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T. No.
358 of 2025 arising out of Amarpur (Fulli Dumar) P.S. Case No.
105 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 80(2)/3(5)
of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
alongwith the family members tortured and killed the deceased
for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for



the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 04.03.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner since the petitioner is the husband of the deceased, the onus lies upon him in this case of dowry death and therefore, the petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. As per the report dated 30.10.2025, sent by the learned court below, it appears that charges have been framed on 17.10.2025 and summons have also been issued to the witnesses for their evidence.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner being the husband of the deceased as also the present stage of trial, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

9. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

10. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to



renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

