

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72568 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2025 Thana- RAMGARHWA District- East
Champaran

=====

Lalji Yadav @ Prasad Yadav S/o- Late Chhatu Yadav RO- Siswaniya PS-
Ramgarhwa District- East Champaran Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Babita Devi W/o- Prasad Yadav RO- Siswaniya PS-Ramgarhwa District-
East Champaran Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Naresh Ray, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 16-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Ramgarhwa
(Ramgarhwa) P.S. case No. 234 of 2025 instituted for the
offences under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109, 74, 351(2),
3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution allegation, in short, is that on a trivial
issue regarding playing of children, some verbal altercation took
place and the accused persons including the petitioner, in an
aberrated condition, starting assaulting the daughter of the
informant, in the meantime, informant came to save her then the
petitioner along with others also assaulted the informant due to



which she sustained injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is delay of three days in lodging the F.I.R. Learned counsel further submits that no occurrence as alleged has taken place. Both the parties are gotiyas and there is subsisting land dispute between the parties. Learned counsel submits that the informant is in habit of filing false cases against the petitioner. The husband of the informant does not live at home and due to wrong suggestion of her associates, the present case has been lodged after three days. The petitioner is in custody since 03.07.2025 and has no criminal antecedent

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. He further submits that there is allegation against the petitioner, hence, he does not deserve bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, clean antecedent of the petitioner and the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of



Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Ramgadhwa (Ramgarhwa) P.S. case No. 234 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

