

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72436 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-178 Year-2025 Thana- MOHIUDDIN NAGAR District-
Samastipur

-
1. Tej Narayan Rai @ Tekan Rai @ Tej Narayan Roy S/o- Late Bathu Rai @ Budhu Rai Village- Ramaiya Ward No- 10 PS- Mohiuddinnagar Dist- Samastipur
 2. Satish Kumar Rai S/o- Tej Narayan Rai @ Tekan Rai @ Tej Narayan Roy Village- Ramaiya Ward No- 10 PS- Mohiuddinnagar Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 15-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Mohiuddinnagar P.S. Case No. 178 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 189(2), 189(4), 191(1), 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 109(1), 324(4), 324(5), 329(3), 329(4), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioners along with other co-accused persons have entered the house of



the informant armed with various weapons, abused him, damaged his CCTV camera as well as Scorpio car and also looted his household articles and cash.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material have been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature. It is alleged that petitioner no. 1 is the order giver and petitioner no. 2 is member of the mob. It is next submitted that no any injury has been caused to anyone. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties. The petitioners are in custody since 19.08.2025 and have got three criminal antecedents each.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.



7. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mohiuddinnagar P.S. Case No. 178 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:

(I) The learned Court below, shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners before accepting their bail bonds and in the event if it is not found true, in that event, the present bail order shall not be given effect to.

(II) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioners.

(III) The petitioners shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

