

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1261 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1060 of 2023

=====

Rina Kumari @ Reena Kumari W/o Manoj Kumar Verma R/o Village Mahuawa, Ward No. 10, Block Obra, P.S. Obra, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, ICDS, Bihar.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
5. The District Programme Officer, Aurangabad.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Obra, Aurangabad.
7. Sonamati Kumari W/o-Mukesh Singh R/o Village- Mahuawan, P.S. Obra, District Aurangabad, Bihar 824124

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Ms.Ranjana Srivastava, Advocate
		Mr. Dinesh Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (GA-2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 27-02-2025

Heard Mr. Dinesh Jha, learned Advocate for the

appellant and Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, learned Advocate

for the State.

2. The appellant had preferred two on-line

applications for consideration of her appointment as



Anganbari Sevika. In the said applications, there were different entries.

3. The appellant approached the Divisional Commissioner for permitting her to press the second application with the correct entries, which was allowed.

4. This exercise of discretion by the Divisional Commissioner was not found to be tenable by the learned Single Judge of this Court in CWJC No. 1060 of 2023 vide judgment dated 26.09.2023. The issue was tested in the context of the format of the on-line application, which specified that any entry made in the first instance by an applicant on-line shall be the facts undertaken by the maker thereof and all liabilities and responsibilities would be fastened on the maker of such application.

5. With such clarity in the format of the on-line application, the first application-form only was to be scrutinized.

6. The judgment of the learned Single Judge indicates that there were changes not only in the name of



the husband of the appellant but also in her date of birth
as also in the class/category in which she had applied.

7. We are in complete agreement with the
judgment passed by the learned Single Judge, which
requires no interference.

8. The writ petition is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	28.02.2025
Transmission Date	

