

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74534 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2025 Thana- ITARHI District- Buxar

=====

Ravindra Singh @ Ravindra Kumar Singh S/o Awadh Bihari Singh R/o
Village- Basudhar, P.S.- Itarhi, District- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bachan Jee Ojha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sucheta Yadav

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation
is of recovery of 3.640 litres of liquor from dickey of a
scooty.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such,
nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is
not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be



implicated at the instance of Chaukidar with whom he is on an inimical term.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge Excise Court No.1, Buxar in connection with Itarhi P. S. Case No.149 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for



the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner has antecedent of one case, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

