

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76597 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2022 Thana- ANGARGHAT District- Samastipur

Saurabh Kumar @ Saurabh Suman @ Allian Son of Late Ram Yatan Kumar
@ Late Ram Ratan Kumar R/O Vill.- Khoksaha, Ward no. 14, P.S.-
Bibhutipur, Dist.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raja Ram Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Anurag Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-01-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Angar
Ghat P.S. Case No. 124 of 2022 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 395, 397, 307, 412 and 120B of the
Indian Penal Code read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of two cases and the informant alleges
that he was intercepted by three motorcycle borne criminals
who looted Rs. 69,500/- along with gold locket, further on alarm
the villagers chased the miscreants and Satyam and Raja Kumar
were apprehended who revealed the name of seven accused
persons who were involved in the occurrence and it was also



disclosed that Kundan fired at the villager causing injury to Monu Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the petitioner transpired in the statement of apprehended accused. It is also submitted that petitioner is in custody since 23.06.2023. It is next submitted that charge sheet has been submitted and charges have been framed in the month of September-October, 2024, but then till date not a single witness has been examined.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases and in the event if bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather his mother who has sworn the affidavit will stand surety.

6. The Court was inclined to accept the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, but then it was pointed out by the learned APP that from perusal of the description of the petitioner as given in the cause title, it would manifest that petitioner is aged about 24 years whereas the person who has sworn the affidavit, disclosing her relation with the petitioner as her mother, is aged only 38 years which



creates suspicion.

7. After hearing the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

8. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

