

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71869 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-313 Year-2025 Thana- BANIAPUR District- Saran

Himanshu Shekhar @ Bipin Singh S/o- Bhubneshwar Singh R/O Village-
Kanhauli Sangram,P.S-Baniyapur, District-Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Radhesh Kumar Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 16-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Baniyapur P.S. Case No.313/2025, registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 127(1), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2),
352, 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that accused persons came and started abusing and
petitioner assaulted her husband by knife causing injury near the
waist and other accused also assaulted her.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is next submitted that petitioner and husband of the
informant are own brother and informant in the instant FIR has
implicated the family members of her husband including father-in-



law and mother-in-law. It is also submitted that a dispute with regard to property is going on in between the husband of the informant and the petitioner in which petitioner is being supported by his parents, as such, the informant in order to coerce him into submission instituted the instant case.

5 Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that even if the dispute is brewing for property in between petitioner and husband of the informant the same in no manner entitle the petitioner to stab his own brother and that too near the waist which is a vital part of the body. It is further submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the same records- *“a puncture wound on the back of left side of the flash region of size 3 CM x 1 CM.”* It is also submitted that investigation is continuing.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

