

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71845 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-188 Year-2025 Thana- Raghunathpur District- East Champaran

Krishna Kumar S/o Bharat Sahani R/o vill - Bakhari Najir, P.S.- Mehasi,
Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Parth Gaurav, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69 and 3(5) of the BNS read with Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that she met petitioner about two years ago and started talking to each other on phone, further petitioner, on false promise of marriage, established physical relations and when her parents came to know about this, they talked with the petitioner and his family members and they also agreed for marriage, thereafter, again petitioner established physical



relations several times and when informant asked him to marry, the petitioner along with other accused persons started demanding dowry of Rs. 40 Lakhs.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that the instant FIR has been instituted on 09.07.2025 by the informant, but then prior to institution of the instant case, the mother of the informant had filed Complaint Case No. 1388 of 2024 in the Court of learned CJM, East Champaran, Motihari on 07.06.2024 wherein it was alleged that marriage of the petitioner was fixed with the informant, but since dowry was being demanded and the demand could not be met, as such, the marriage broke and the gifted amount was misappropriated. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the complaint, it would manifest that the same does not even remotely reflect that petitioner and the informant were in a relationship. It is next submitted that since Complaint Case No. 1388 of 2024 is still pending consideration as cognizance till date has not been taken, as such, in order to coerce the petitioner and his family members into submission, the instant FIR came to be instituted with different allegations. It is asserted and submitted that



informant's parents had approached the parents of the petitioner for marriage, but then the marriage could not be negotiated which enraged the side of the informant leading to institution of two cases with different allegations.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Raghunathpur P.S. Case No. 188 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

