

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76982 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2023 Thana- KORHA District- Katihar

1. Angad Kumar Soren Son of Biswanath Soren Village -Charkhi Narayanpur PS -Korha Distt- Katihar
2. Biswanath Soren son of Late Khaddi Soren Village -Charkhi Narayanpur PS -Korha Distt- Katihar
3. Manjula Kishu @ Manjula Devi Wife of Biswanath Soren Village -Charkhi Narayanpur PS -Korha Distt- Katihar
4. Tala Biti Soren @ Priya Soren Daughter of Biswanath soren Village -Charkhi Narayanpur PS -Korha Distt- Katihar
5. Manni Murmu @ Bhanni Murmu son of Late Shyam Murmu Village -Charkhi Narayanpur PS -Korha Distt- Katihar
6. Sunil Murmu @ Sunil Kumar Murmu son of Gulay Murmu Village -Charkhi Narayanpur PS -Korha Distt- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Sonamunni Daughter of Ramji Murmu Village -Charkhi Narayanpur Po-Bhatwara, PS -Korha Distt- Katihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rama Nand Poddar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 26-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party No.
2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection

with Kodha P.S. Case No.10 of 2023 registered under Sections
498A, 363, 365, 376, 307, 120(b) and 201 of the Indian Penal
Code.



3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that her husband, the petitioner No.1 herein, along with accused persons started to assault the complainant mentally and physically on account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and also ousted her from matrimonial house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner No.1 submits that earlier the matter had been sent to the Patna High Court Mediation Center vide order dated 22.03.2025 but the mediation process has failed. Learned counsel for the petitioner No.1 further submits that the allegation of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted. The petitioner is always ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honor and had also filed an application under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act for restitution of conjugal rights.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State as also learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner No.1 submits that the petitioner makes an offer to pay Rs. 2500/- to his wife in the second week of every month for her basic requirements. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial



maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner No.1, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Kodha P.S. Case No.10 of 2023 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Katihar, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023 and subject to the further condition that the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation/trial.

8. If the opposite party no. 2 furnishes the Bank account in which the amount can be transferred and yet the petitioner fails to make the aforesaid payment of Rs. 2500/- on two consecutive dates, the opposite party no. 2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

sharun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

