

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74138 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-120 Year-2025 Thana- CHANDRADIP District- Jamui

Ashok Ravidas Son of Hemnath Rabidas, Resident of Village - Hilsa, P.S.-
Chandradeep, District - Jamui.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chandradeep P.S. Case No. 120 of 2025 dated 09.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 74, 303(2), 109, 329(4), 352 and 351(2) read with Section 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioner and other co-accused persons entered into the house of the informant in the night and tried to outrage her modesty. When the son of the informant intervened, the co-accused hit on his head with iron-rod and the blow of the petitioner struck him on his right hand. Other co-accused persons also came and snatched her gold chain worth rupees ninety thousand and ear ring worth rupees twenty thousand. Another person who came for rescue was also



assaulted by the assailants causing fracture of his left hand.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is the brother-in-law of the informant and he stays in the same house. There is a dispute over partition and in order to pressurize the petitioner, the present case has been instituted. Injury report has not been made available and this shows the falsity of the allegation. From the FIR, it is apparent that there was no intention to kill any person and hence, there could be no application of Section 109 of the BNS in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that only allegation against the petitioner is that due to his assault, the hand of the son of the informant got fractured. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 29.07.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, his period of custody



and submission of chargesheet against him along with his clean antecedent, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Jamui / concerned Court, in connection with **Chandradeep P.S. Case No. 120 of 2025**, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial Court.

(iii) In case of non-appearance of the petitioner on single date or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

