

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73016 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-275 Year-2025 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

1. Md. Rizwan @ Md. Rizwan Alam S/o Md. Yunus @ Md. Yunus Alam @ Mohammad Yunus Resident of Mohalla - Chhoti Talab Pakribarawan, P.S- Pakribarawan, District - Nawada
2. Md. Raja @ Md. Raja Alam S/o Md. Yunus @ Md. Yunus Alam @ Mohammad Yunus Resident of Mohalla - Chhoti Talab Pakribarawan, P.S- Pakribarawan, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Priya Sharan Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190, 191, 109, 115(2), 117(2), 132, 121, 126(2), 127(2), 224, 292, 324(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that on 12.07.2025, the informant received information that one Farhana Parveen and her family members were constantly assaulted by the neighbours. When the informant along with other police personnel reached the place of occurrence to pacify the matter then they were assaulted by the local people due to



which some police personnel were injured and glass of the police vehicle also got broken. It is further alleged that when the police arrested 21 accused persons and 2 juvenile then, on the way, some women threw chilly powder on the police personnel. Thereafter, the FIR has been registered against 45 accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the FIR has been lodged against 45 accused persons including these petitioners. There is no specific allegation against these petitioners, rather, the allegations levelled against them is general and omnibus. Save and except, the fact that petitioners were seen near the place of occurrence, there is no material against them to connect them in the present case. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioners being the residents of same village where the occurrence took place, were seen near the place of occurrence due to which they have been falsely made accused in this case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that



let the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate II, Nawada in connection with Pakribarawan P. S. Case No. 275 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

