

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1069 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13594 of 2024

Vandana Kumari Daughter of Shri Sudhir Kumar Sharma, Wife of Shri Anand Kumar Resident of Village - Babu Amouna, Police Station - Daudnagar, District - Aurangabad. At Present Posted and Working as Prakhanda Teacher/In-Charge Headmaster in Govt. Upgraded Senior Secondary School Feshara, Block - Rafiganj, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Secondary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Education Officer, Aurangabad.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Aurangabad.
7. The Block Development Officer, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.
8. The Block Development Officer-Cum-Secretary, Block Teacher Employment Unit, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.
9. The Block Panchayati Raj Officer, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.
10. The Block Education Officer, Rafiganj, Aurangabad.
11. Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sharma, Prakhanda Teacher, Govt. Upgraded Senior Secondary School Feshara, Block - Rafiganj, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Siya Ram Shahi
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Addl. Advocate General (13)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 10-09-2025 Appellant has assailed the order of the learned Single
dated 10.09.2024 passed in CWJC No. 13594 of 2024.

2. Appellant and Respondent No. 11 – *Dharmendra*



Kumar Sharma were colleagues in the Education Department while affecting inter transfer. There were certain issues relating to handing over the charge of the post. Appellant alleges that Respondent No. 11 during the transit of transfer and taking over the charge or handing over the charge he has alleged to have misappropriated certain amount of money. On such allegation, he was placed under suspension and it was not concluded initiating departmental inquiry and its logic end. Hence, appellant is aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the official respondent and preferred CWJC No. 13594 of 2024. The appellant has no locus, for the reasons that by virtue of any action of the 11th Respondent, appellant's service condition has not been affected in any manner. Therefore, the appellant has not made out a case so as to interfere with the order of learned Single Judge dated 10.09.2024 passed in CWJC No. 13594 of 2024.

3. Accordingly, LPA No. 1069 of 2024 stands dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, ACJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

abhishekk/-

U			
---	--	--	--

