

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73371 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2024 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

Sugandha W/o Late Manoj Prasad R/o Village- Patpariya Moraan, P.O.-
Roopdih, P.S.- Motihari Mofussil, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Asif Kalim, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Sen Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seek bail in anticipation of her arrest
in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections
379, 420 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman
and the informant alleges that she is Chairman of *Mala Nari
Shakti Mahila Swablambi Sahkari Samiti* and the *Samiti* has an
account in the S.B.I. which is operated by the joint signature of
the informant and the Board of Directors, but on 07.02.2023
some Directors in connivance with the Ex-C.E.O. Kunj Bihari
Prasad, illegally withdrew an amount of Rs. 1,87,000/-,



thereafter the informant, on coming to know about the occurrence, locked the account but the accused persons misused the letter head of the informant and renewed the operation of the Bank account and withdrew an amount of Rs. 3,33,000/-.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that informant has made the said firm as her pocket firm and she never held meetings of the *Samiti*, hence as per rule of the *Samiti*, the accused persons including the petitioner assumed power for which a resolution was passed and the resolution was sent to the Bank and thus, the Bank allowed the operation of the account. It is also submitted that petitioner is not a criminal. It is next submitted that initially when the FIR was instituted, it was instituted with respect to offences which carries punishment of seven years and less, but police during the course of investigation under the influence of the informant added Section 409 of the IPC also, thus, the petitioner is apprehending arrest.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the



event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on anticipatory bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 191 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

