

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74216 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2025 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

Vidya Kishor S/O Late Hari sahani R/O Vill.- Bhawanipur, Chhapra Bahas,
P.s.- Sugauli, District- East Champaran Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Vigilance Dept. of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP
For the Vigilance	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Paritosh Parimal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard Mr. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for the Vigilance

and Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 5 of 2025, F.I.R. dated
04.01.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467,
468, 471 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner has
produced fake result card of BETET-2011 in order to wrongfully
gain the benefit of government job.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the



present F.I.R has been instituted in compliance of the order passed in CWJC No. 14569 of 2014 where it has been directed to verify the genuineness of the educational certificate of the employed teachers since 2006 to 2015. He further submits that the petitioner has submitted his documents as provided by the competent Board/University and as per the allegation in the F.I.R the certificate of the BETET-2011 which was produced by the petitioner was found to be fake. He further submits that after institution of the present F.I.R, the petitioner has resigned from the post in question on 12.09.2025.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor as well as learned counsel for the Vigilance have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has resigned from the post in question after lodging of the present F.I.R, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran in connection



with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 5 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

