

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75563 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2024 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Darbhanga

=====

Ankit Jha Son of Late Vinay Jha R/O Village Manikauli, P.S.- Singhwara,
District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sweety Kumari Daughter of Dilip Thakur R/O Village- Sambhuta, Post-
Shahibhalura, P.S.- Aurai, District- Muzaffarpur and presently R/O Village
and Post- Hardiya, P.S.- Pupri, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 29-01-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner, Learned
APP for the State and Learned Counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Mahila P.S. Case No. 75 of 2024, lodged on 06.07.2024,
under Sections 376, 313, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against
four named accused persons including the present petitioner.
The allegation is that the petitioner developed a physical
relationship, on the pretext of marriage, with the informant.
However, it was later stated that the petitioner would not marry
the informant.



4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel further submits that the antecedent of the petitioner is clean and the entire allegation is false and fabricated. Counsel further submits that since the petitioner is in service, such type of allegation have been made only to create pressure on him. Counsel also submits that the case has been lodged after an unexplained delay of five months.

5. Learned Counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that, based on a false promise of marriage, the informant became pregnant at the petitioner's instance, and he is now refusing to marry her.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Aman Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

