

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77421 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-400 Year-2024 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR TOWN District-
Muzaffarpur

Vikash Ram @ Ranjan Ram @ Krishna Ram @ Takla @ Kishna Ram, Son of
Bhuneshwar Ram @ Bhubaneshwar Ram @ Matar Ram @ Rambhu Ram,
Resident of Village and P.S.- Sugauli, District-East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 18-01-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 380, 411,
413, 414, 401/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.06.2024
when the informant reached at his go-down with his staff
Radheyshyam. He found that there was another lock in the go-
down. Then he asked from the owner Ashok Kumar Gupta; he
did not informed regarding that. After this the lock was broken.
As they entered in the go-down, they found that certain clothes
were stolen from the go-down. When the CCTV footage was
seen, it was found that in the night of 22.06.2024-23.06.2024, at



04:00 AM 20 *gaanth* of clothes were loaded on three *thela*.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the FIR it is clear that nobody has seen the occurrence and the case was filed against unknown miscreants. During course of investigation one Raju Raut was apprehended and he in his confessional statement took the name of this petitioner. It has further been argued by the learned counsel for the petitioner that from an open field near which the petitioner was standing, one motorcycle, one scissor, some currency and other articles were recovered. Stolen articles are alleged to be the *gaanth* of clothes which has not been recovered. The petitioner is having criminal antecedent of 13 cases regarding which the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is the fowl play of the police that in every case of theft, this petitioner is being made accused. He is in custody since 01.07.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be



enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court of CJM, Muzaffarpur in connection with Town P.S. Case No. 400 of 2024..

7. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

