

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71411 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2024 Thana- MOHANPUR District- Samastipur

Ranjan Rai @ Rajan Rai @ Ranjan Ray Son of Arjun Ray @ Arjun Rai
Resident of Village- Dakshini Dumari, P.S.- Mohanpur, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has earlier moved before this Court with a prayer for anticipatory bail which was rejected vide order dated 16.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 48251 of 2025.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mohanpur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2024, registered under Sections 309(4) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the informant was intercepted by three unknown miscreants. The miscreants looted Rs. 4,20,000/- from the informant on gun point and fled away from the spot.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused, namely, Yashwant Ray and the same has got no evidentiary value. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents and is languishing in judicial custody since 03.08.2025 without any rhymes or reason. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted regular bail by Court vide order dated 30.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 78962 of 2024.

6. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail, *after framing of charge if not already framed*, on furnishing bail



bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Mohanpur P.S. Case No. 107 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

