

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76081 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-301 Year-2023 Thana- TEGHRHA District- Begusarai

Govind Paswan @ Govind Kumar S/O Dinesh Paswan Resident of Barauni
Flag, Ward No. 01, P.S.- Teghda, Distt.- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 05-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 26-7-2024 and the informant alleges that on 5-10-2023,
Govind (petitioner) called her husband and asked him to come
to his village, Barauni flag, accordingly her husband left the
house. It is next alleged that Govind along with 4-5 unknown
accused killed her husband and she got the information about
the occurrence on 6-10-2023, also alleged that Govind worked
at a brick *chimney* and used to take liquor and also demanded



money from her husband for drinking. Since his demand was not met, hence he along with other accused was killed her husband.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence. It is next submitted that though informant alleges in the FIR that she came to know about the occurrence on 6-10-2023, but then she does not disclose the basis on which she came to know about the occurrence. It is next submitted that charge-sheet has already been submitted. It is reiterated and submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Teghda P.S. Case



No. 301 of 2023.

7. However, it is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

