

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71584 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-345 Year-2025 Thana- NAWADA MUFFASIL District- Nawada

1. Siya Sharan Manjhi Son of Jagdev Manjhi Resident of village - Guramha, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.
2. Rambol Manjhi Son of Bhimsen Manjhi Resident of village - Guramha, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.
3. Chandan Manjhi Son of Hareram Manjhi Resident of village - Guramha, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.
4. Ramesh Manjhi Son of Late Ghopal Manjhi Resident of village - Guramha, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.
5. Sunil Manjhi Son of Jugat Manjhi Resident of village - Guramha, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.
6. Suraj Manjhi Son of Late Ghopal Manjhi Resident of village - Guramha, P.S.- Muffasil, District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Krishna Deo Raj, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Fahimuddin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 345 of 2025 dated 07.08.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 109, 115(2), 117(2), 126(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3) and 352 of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged



that his son is mentally unstable and has been under treatment for two years. On 03.08.2025, a villager named Birju Manjhi came to their door and told him abusive words and assaulted the informant's son with stick after which both sides engaged in a scuffle. After about half an hour, the petitioners and 25-30 unknown persons armed with rods, stick and knives allegedly entered the house of the informant with an intention to kill the informant's son. The accused persons dragged the informant's son out to the road and brutally assaulted him with the said weapons due to which he sustained fracture injuries on his hands and legs and also sustained injury on his entire body. Thereafter, the injured was taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. There is a delay of four days in lodging the F.I.R. without any explanation. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between the parties. Learned counsel has further submitted that both sides have sustained injuries. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. As per the injury report of the injured, he sustained five fracture injuries which is stated to be



grievous in nature but on non vital part of the body. The petitioners have clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioners are in custody since 08.08.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioners above-named, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Nawada in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 345 of 2025 with the conditions :-

(i) The petitioners are directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioners are liable to be cancelled.

(ii) The petitioners are directed to cooperate in the trial before the learned court below.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

