

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82013 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2024 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Satyendra Yadav S/O Yogendra Yadav R/O vill - Chunukpur P.S - Ghoshi,  
Distt.- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                         |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Adv.<br>Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP                                                   |
| For the Informant    | : | Mr. Pritish Kumar Lal, Advocate                                         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      23-01-2025              Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner, learned  
counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2.      Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Ghoshi  
P.S. Case No.184 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable  
u/s 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 379, 504 of IPC and 27 of  
Arms Act and later on Section 302 of IPC added.

3.      Allegedly, petitioner along with some other co-accused  
persons have assaulted the brother of the informant with lathi  
and bricks. Petitioner fired upon the brother of the informant  
which hit on his stomach due to which he got badly injured and  
died after 29 days.

4.      It is submitted by learned senior counsel for the petitioner  
that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any



offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity as civil and criminal cases are pending between them. It is further submitted that there is no eye witness in the present case. Petitioner has been made accused only on the basis of suspicion. Petitioner has three criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application and has been languishing in custody since 09.05.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner has been made assailant in the present case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for grant of regular bail on his behalf is hereby rejected.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

priyanka/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

