

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71459 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2024 Thana- CHANPATIA District- West Champaran

Dhanjeet Ray @ Dhanjeet Kumar @ Bhatuman Ray @ Bhatuman Kumar S/o
Vishwanath Ray R/O Village- Gidha, P.S.- Chanpatiya, Distt.- West
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Ms. Khushi Awadh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 326(b), 329(4), 109, 118(1), 303(2) and 352 of the B.N.S..

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 10.11.2024 at about 10 AM, when the informant was sleeping at his house, in the meantime, on account of old land dispute, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, variously armed, came there and started abusing informant and on objection, all of them assaulted informant and others. It is further alleged that during course of occurrence, one Raj



Kishore Rai took away *Mangalsutra* of wife of informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that specific accusation of assault is against other co-accused persons. So far as this petitioner is concerned, no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against this petitioner. He is only alleged to be member of the mob. Injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. As a matter of fact, on account of land dispute between the parties, a free fight took place in which both sides sustained injuries. There is case and counter-case. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, case and counter-case between the parties, nature of injuries sustained by the injured and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named



petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection with Chanpatiya P.S. Case No. 228 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

