

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81944 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-616 Year-2024 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Pintu Lal @ Surya Prakash Pal Son of Kripa Shankar Pal Resident of Village
- Lalapur, P.S. - Kudara, District - Kaimur (Bhabua)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manish Kumar No 13, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 19-03-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The present Criminal Miscellaneous application has been filed under Sections 483 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as “the BNSS”) for seeking regular bail in connection with Bhabua P.S. Case No.616 of 2024 lodged on 07.08.2024 under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 338, 336(3) and 340(2)(3)(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, the FIR has been lodged against two named accused persons including the petitioner against whom there is an allegation that they are involved in leaking the question paper of Constable Recruitment Examination by using unfair means.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits



that the petitioner has earlier been made accused in Dumraon P.S. Case No.269 of 2024 and thereafter, the police has unnecessarily made accused in the present case.

5. Learned Counsel also submits that the petitioner is in custody since 08.08.2024 and his antecedent is not clean. There is one criminal case pending against the petitioner.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that from the allegation made in the F.I.R., the petitioner transpires to be the kingpin and correspondence with regard to call and message from his mobile, it transpires that discussion for the setting in the examination is there and wrong doer used to take Rs.8-9 lac per candidates and in this way, they have provided services to series of persons when they have come for further setting in the hostel, then on the information provided by the co-accused, petitioner has been recovered by the police from his hostel. The another co-accused has also been arrested on the disclosure of the petitioner.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner, therefore the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

