

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78434 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-419 Year-2024 Thana- HAJIPUR SADAR District- Vaishali

Arvind Kumar Son of Harihar Ray village- Kanchanpur Dhanusi, PS-
Kartahan, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Karu Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 12-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 419 of 2024, lodged on 25.06.2024
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. According to the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has
been lodged against unknown accused persons. It is alleged in
the F.I.R. that when the informant was returning with his driver
after collecting money, the accused persons snatched cash
amount of Rs. 3,10,000/- as well as a Samsung mobile phone
from the informant's driver.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The



petitioner is not named in the F.I.R.; rather, the F.I.R. has been lodged against unknown accused persons. However, during the investigation, the petitioner's name emerged as the police have alleged that the said robbery was committed at the instance of the petitioner, who was the informant's driver. He further submits that the looted amount of Rs. 54,170/- and the petitioner's own looted mobile phone have been recovered from his possession. The petitioner has a clean antecedent and has been in custody since 23.07.2024. The offence against the petitioner is triable in the Magistrate's Court.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is the mastermind behind the entire incident. In addition to looted money, mobile phone has also been recovered from the possession of the petitioner about which he has indicated in the F.I.R. the same has been looted from him.

6. Upon specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not exactly aware of the events in this case, but according to the information provided by the pairvikar, the chargesheet has been submitted, although charges have yet to be framed.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not



inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 419 of 2024, pending before the learned C.J.M., Vaishali at Hajipur, is hereby rejected.

9. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail one month after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

