

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74220 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-329 Year-2025 Thana- ARARIA District- Araria

=====

Ravi Kumar Jaiswal @ Ravi Jayaswal S/o Late Baidhnath Jaiswal R/o  
Village- Rahika Tola, ward no. 17, P.S.- Araria, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      04-11-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his  
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under  
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is  
of recovery of 03 litres of liquor from the house of the  
petitioner.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was  
recovered from his conscious possession and even the house,  
which is a joint family property and thus, cannot be alleged with  
certainty that it was the petitioner, who had kept the liquor in the



house or the liquor kept in the house was within his knowledge. It is next submitted that after Amendment in the Excise Act, 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with and he came to be implicated based on secret information, which is the easiest way to implicate someone.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge Excise-1, Araria in connection with Araria P. S. Case No.329 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is



found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

