

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70808 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2025 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

Sonu Kumar @ Sonu Mahto S/o- Kameshwar Mahto Resident Of Village-
Ismile, Ps- Dighwara, Dist- Saran Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Ram Binod Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Samir Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Saurabh Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP
for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 316(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that this petitioner has taken loan for tractor from the L & T Finance Company.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is a landless person and he has not taken any loan from the L & T Company rather his documents have been used for withdrawing loan. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the only allegation against this petitioner is that he has taken loan and on this allegation, the



only remedy available to the informant is that he can file recovery case against the petitioner. There is no any allegation against this petitioner of committing any kind of fraud or cheating with the informant. A statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 21.05.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail. Learned counsel for the informant has submitted that this petitioner has also taken loan from the L & T Company in connivance with other persons.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 91 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Danapur/successor court.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

