

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70989 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2025 Thana- BIHTA District- Patna

Hira Lal Kumar S/o Nawal Ram R/o Vill.- Kauriya, P.S.- Bihta, Dist.- Patna,
Bihar. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kant Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail. Earlier the petitioner had moved before this Court along with other five petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail and by a detailed order dated 14.07.2025, the other five petitioners, i.e. petitioner nos.2 to 6 of Cr. Misc. No.36849 of 2025, had been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail, while the prayer in respect of this petitioner, who was petitioner no.1 in Cr. Misc. No.36849 of 2025, was dismissed as withdrawn with a liberty to surrender before the learned Court below for grant of regular bail.

3. The present application renewing the prayer for anticipatory bail has been filed on the ground that the matter had been compromised between the parties and the said compromise petition has been annexed as Annexure-5 to this petition.



Further, the petitioner has been selected in the Bihar Home Guard and the relevant document has been brought on record by way of Annexure-6 to this petition.

4. It appears from the record that the compromise petition is dated 17.03.2025, which was prior to passing of the order of this Court by which the prayer of the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perusing the materials available on record, I do not find any fresh ground to interfere with my earlier order dated 14.07.2025. However, in case the petitioner surrenders before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks and seeks regular bail, the same shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order or the earlier withdrawal order, considering the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the petitioner has been selected for a job. The prayer of the petitioner would be considered preferably on the same day.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this application stands disposed of.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

