

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70910 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2025 Thana- BHAIKAVSHTHAN District- Madhubani

=====

Rahul Kumar Mandal @ Rahul Kumar Mandlu Son of Sudish Mandal
Resident of village - Paroriyahi (Gajhara), P.S.- Ladaniya, District –
Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratnakar Jha, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sharda Kumari, app.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, there is recovery of 450
litres of illicit liquor from a Car bearing Registration No. PB-
02AL-6543. It is alleged that petitioner alongwith co-accused
Pradeep Kumar Kamat were apprehended on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case.
He further submits that petitioner is the driver of the vehicle in
question and he had no knowledge with regard to what was kept
in the seized Car. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has no
concern with the alleged seized liquor. He further submits that no
incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious
possession of petitioner. Learned counsel submits that there is no



independent witness to the seizure list. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 05.07.2025, having one criminal antecedent of similar nature. Learned counsel submits that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Pradeep Kumar Kamat had already been granted regular bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 22.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.62644 of 2025. He further submits that there is no chance of absconding the petitioner or tampering with the evidence by him and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection with G.R. No.415 of 2025 arising out of Bhairoasthan P.S. Case No.95 of 2025.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

