

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71779 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-138 Year-2025 Thana- DURAULI District- Siwan

Praveen Singh @ Praveen Kumar Singh Son of Late Tej Bahadur Singh  
Resident of vill-Jataur PS-Guthni Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumari Anupam  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Kishor Singh, APP  
Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Adv

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 2      15-10-2025      1.    Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2.    The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 108 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
3.    The learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner has antecedents of 1 case and the informant alleges that Bayash Yadav had taken loan of Rs. 10 lakhs from her husband, similarly Praveen had taken a loan of Rs. 22 lakhs from Ranjay through her husband, while Sonu Singh had taken loan of Rs. 2 lakhs from her husband and Rs. 17 lakhs from Akhilesh through her husband, and since the accused persons



were not returning the amount, hence her husband committed suicide.

4. The Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that petitioner had taken a loan of Rs. 22 lakhs from Ranjay through the husband of the informant, but then Ranjay has not approached any forum for recovering the loan amount nor has filed any case. It is further submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that Ranjay was harassing or threatening the husband of the informant for getting his money returned from the petitioner. It is also submitted that similarly situated co-accused Bayash Yadav @ Byas Kumar Yadav had approached this court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 71611 of 2025 and the same was allowed by an order dated 9-10-2025 passed by a learned Co-ordinate Bench. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Darauli P.S. Case No. 138 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

