

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2015 Thana- CHAINPUR District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

- Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar Singh, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Parmeshwar Mehta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-11-2025 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 419 and 420 of the IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that Sitaram Prasad was posted as Sub-postmaster at Sub-post office, Chainpur from 2013 to 8-9-2015, further during this period he made fraudulent withdrawal of Rs. 32 lakh, it is next alleged that Sitaram Prasad later deposited an amount of Rs. 8,48,358/-.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioners are not named in the FIR and they are not the employee of sub-post office rather their name transpired in the confessional statement of Sitaram Prasad. It is further submitted that Sitaram Prasad was taken in custody and he has deposited the entire amount which is alleged to have been fraudulently withdrawn, it is thus submitted that had the petitioners been involved in the case in that event Sitaram Prasad would not have deposited the entire amount. It is also submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where



the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 228 of 2015 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioners, despite giving assurance to this Court, are not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

