

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73614 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-155 Year-2025 Thana- BOCHAHAN District- Muzaffarpur

Sarita Devi W/o Upendra Chaudhari R/o Village- Manvishunpur, P.S.-  
Bochaha, Dist.- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmanand Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      03-11-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the  
Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and  
allegation is of recovery of 24 liters of liquor from the house of  
the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner was not apprehended from the spot as such nothing  
was recovered from her conscious possession and the house in  
question is a joint family property, as such, it cannot be alleged  
with certainty that it was petitioner who had kept the liquor in



the house or the liquor kept in the house was within her knowledge and after amendment in the excise act in the year 2018, the concept of deemed possession and presumed offender has been done away with.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on Provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bochahan P.S. Case No. 155 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed her antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional



anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

