

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71420 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-294 Year-2025 Thana- GAURICHAK District- Patna

-
1. Shailesh Ravidas @ Shailesh Kumar S/O Kisundev Ravidas R/O- Chakbihri, P.S.- Panchrukhiya, Distt.- Patna, Bihar
 2. Rubi Devi W/O Shailesh Ravidas @ Shailesh Kumar R/O- Chakbihri, P.S.- Panchrukhiya, Distt.- Patna, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Shrishti Rani, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 329(4), 76, 303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, assaulted informant and his wife. It is further alleged that the accused persons also snatched gold *Jitiya* from neck of wife of informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and



have committed no offence. As a matter of fact, both parties are *Gotiyas* and due to admitted land dispute between the parties, a simple *maar-peet* took place in which both sides sustained injuries. There is case and counter-case. There is no specific allegation of overt act against Petitioner No. 2. Rest of the allegations are ornamental in order to make the case grave. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that they assaulted informant and his wife. Doctor has opined the injuries caused by Petitioner No. 1 as grievous in nature.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation and nature of injuries sustained by the injured, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to Petitioner No. 1 is rejected.

7. So far as Petitioner No. 2 is concerned, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, case and counter-case between the parties and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to Petitioner No. 2 is allowed.



8. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named Petitioner No. 2 be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-II, Patna City, Patna in connection with Gaurichak P.S. Case No. 294 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

9. With the aforesaid directions, this application stands disposed of.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

