

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76209 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4023 Year-2023 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Patna

=====

Bharat khatri @ Bharat Indu khatri S/o Bhim Sen khatri R/o C 36/37 Mini
L.I.G. Raja Ji Puram Awas, Vikas Colony, S.O- Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh
director of M/S Vincor Life Sciences Pvt. Ltd. Flat no. 883, F/F PKT 3, Sector
19, Akshardham Apartments, Dwarka, New Delhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Govind Kumar Poddar S/o Late Deo Karan Das Poddar Partner M/S Kumar Enterprises, Ceat Compound, Exhibition Road, P.S. - Gandhi Maidan, Distt - Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Hemant Kumar, Adv. Mr. Gaurav Prakash, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP
For the OP-2	:	Mr. Nikhil Kumar Agrawal, Adv. with Ms. Aditi Hansaria, Mr. Yash Sahay and Mr. Keshav Bhardwaj, All Advocates.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 20-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint
case punishable for the offence under Sections 406, 417 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that the dispute between the parties has been settled
amicably through the process of mediation and in terms of the
compromise agreement entered into between the parties,



whereby petitioner undertook to pay an amount of Rs. 6,50,000/- (Rupees Six Lakhs Fifty Thousand only), as full & final settlement, in three installments. By way of filing 2nd supplementary affidavit, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of compromise agreement, all the three installments of Rs. 6,50,000/- have already been paid to the opposite party no. 2. In this regard, he has brought on record copy of bank transactions, which are annexed as Annexure P-5 to the 2nd supplementary affidavit.

4. Learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 does not dispute the contention, made on behalf of petitioner, and confirms the fact regarding settlement entered into between the parties.

5. Considering the fact that dispute between the parties has been resolved, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is allowed.

6. Let the petitioner, as named above, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of **Rs.10,000/-** (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Patna in connection with Complaint Case No. 4023 (c) of 2023, subject to condition as



laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal
Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

