

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72035 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2022 Thana- DANIYAWAN District- Patna

=====

Pramod Ravidas @ Pramod Kumar Son of Manju Ravidas Resident of
Village- Kohawan, Police Station- Daniyawana, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-12-2025 Heard Mr. Awadhesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
28.08.2023, in connection with Sessions Trial No. 151 of 2024 &
1190 of 2024 arising out of Daniyawana P.S. Case No. 118 of 2022,
F.I.R. dated 10.08.2022 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 304(B), 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of committing
torture and cause death to the sister of the informant due to non-
fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely implicated
in the present case merely on the ground that the petitioner is the
husband of the deceased.



5. Earlier the petitioner had moved before this Court for grant of regular bail in Cr. Misc. No. 54523 of 2024 but the same was rejected vide order dated 06.12.2024.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 28.08.2023 and the trial is not concluded as yet.

7. Vide order dated 07.11.2025, a report was called for with regard to the stage of the trial. The report of the learned Trial court dated 12.11.2025 reveals that out of twelve chargesheeted witnesses, only two witnesses have been examined.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the report of the learned Trial court, there is no chance of early conclusion of the trial in near future and the petitioner is in custody since 128.08.2023.

9. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

10. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the period of custody as well as report of the learned trial Court, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-



VIIIth, Patna City, Patna in connection with Sessions Trial No. 151 of 2024 & 1190 of 2024 arising out of Daniyawar P.S. Case No. 118 of 2022, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

