

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72401 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-51 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Samastipur

Manish Kumar S/o- Hare Ram Mahto Resident of village- Patpara PS-
Bibhutipur Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Reena Kumari D/o- Chandradeo Prasad Singh Resident of village- Siropatti
Sirha PS-Khanpur Dist- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raja Ram Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 27-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 352
and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and in sum and
substance the informant alleges that on false promise of
marriage, petitioner established relation and thereafter resiled
from the promise of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
case was taken up on 11.11.2025 and 17.11.2025 and a specific



statement was made that petitioner and the informant during pendency of the anticipatory bail application have performed their marriage and are leading a peaceful conjugal life, it was also submitted that petitioner and the informant on the next date of hearing shall be in the Court.

5. Today, when the case is taken up, the learned counsel submits that petitioner and the informant are present in the Court.

6. Though, the Court had not called the petitioner and the informant, but since a submission was made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner on 17.11.2025 that parties have performed their marriage and petitioner and the informant shall remain present in the Court, as such, the Court recorded the said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

7. The petitioner and the informant are present in the Court and they submit that they have performed their marriage and are leading a peaceful conjugal life.

8. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner also submits that the said fact has also been brought by way of supplementary affidavit, which is taken on record.

9. Learned A.P.P. for the State also does not oppose



the anticipatory bail application in view of the stand taken by the parties that they have performed their marriage and are leading a peaceful conjugal life.

10. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels for the parties, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 51 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

11. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

