

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16506 of 2024

=====

Ajay Kumar S/o Late Kailash Mahto Resident of -Bhalua, Bodh Gaya P.S.
Bodh Gaya, District-Gaya. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Authorised Officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna. having its Office at B.S.T.B.P. Corporation, Buddha Marg, Patna.
5. The Magadh University, Bodh Gaya through its Registrar.
6. The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
7. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
8. The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
9. The Nodal Officer/Admin, Pay Verification, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
10. The In-Charge, Pay Verification, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar, Government Pleader (24)
For the Magadh University	:	Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the State and learned counsel for the University.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by
judgment dated 13.11.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No.16104 of
2024.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the impugned pay



verification certificate issued vide Receipt No.1711220100163, under the signature of the authorized officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna (Annexure-P/16) is hereby quashed and the matter is remanded back to the State authorities for proceeding afresh in accordance with law.

4. It goes without saying that the petitioner is entitled to receive pay as earlier.

5. Accordingly, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

priyanka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

