

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17548 of 2025

=====

Balmiki Prasad Singh @ Balamik Prasad Singh Son of Late Radhay Shyam Singh, resident of Village- Aunta, Anchal- Mokama, P.S.- Hathidah, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Chairman, Ministry of Railways, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The East Central Railways through its General Manager, Hajipur, District- Vaishali, Pin - 844101.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager, East Central Railways, Danapur, Patna.
4. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Patna.
6. The Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.
7. The Circle Officer, Block - Mokama, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Digvijay Narayan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Dhirendra Kumar, AC to GP-5
For the UOI	:	Mr. Jawed Gaffar Khan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to refrain from encroaching upon the raiyat lands of the petitioner for construction of Rampur Dumra-Tal-Rajendrapul Additional Bridge and Doubling Project;



(ii) for issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to take steps to initiate land acquisition proceedings and pay compensation to the petitioner for his land being taken possession of by the respondents for Rampur Dumra-Tal Rajendrapul Additional Bridge and Doubling Project as well as payment of compensation for the standing crops of the petitioner which has been destroyed in pursuance of the arbitrary action of the respondent authorities;

(iii) for grant of any other incidental or consequential relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled to considering the facts and circumstances. of the present case..

3. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner should move before the respondent no.6, the Land Acquisition Officer, Patna for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an appropriate petition shall be filed in next four weeks.



5. If such petition is preferred before the said authority, the same will be taken to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

