

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72201 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2024 Thana- YADOPUR District- Gopalganj

Naresh Yadav @ Ram Naresh Yadav S/O Banka Yadav @ Ramchandra Yadav
R/O Village- Yadopurbirti Tola, P.S.- Yadopur, District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sangeeta Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S. Tr.
No. 431 of 2025, arising out of Jadopur P.S. Case No. 197 of
2024 instituted for the offence under Sections 109(1), 118(2),
303(2), 126(2), 115, 352, 351(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023. Earlier vide order dated 27.06.2025, passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 29839 of 2025, the application was dismissed as
withdrawn.

3. The informant alleged that on 29.11.2024, her
husband Harkesh Yadav was assaulted by Naresh Yadav
(petitioner) and his son Rajkrit Yadav with lathi and *kudal*
during a dispute over a bullock cart entering their field, causing



head injuries. Co-accused Shobha Devi also allegedly snatched the informant's *mangalsutra* during the incident.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 23.06.2025. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent/s, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. From perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner has assaulted the husband of the informant by means of *Kudaal*. Learned counsel submits that injury report does not corroborate the allegation levelled in the FIR as the injury is opined to be caused by hard and blunt substance. Charge sheet in this case is submitted, thereafter, charge is also framed by the court below, which is evident from perusal of the impugned order.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and charge being framed, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with S. Tr. No. 431 of 2025, arising out of Jadopur P.S. Case No. 197 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

