

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4110 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3487 of 2018

=====

Devta Devi Wife of Late Sachhidanand Singh, Resident of Village-Teghra,
P.S.-Bakhtiyarpur District-Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr.Amir Subhani, Son of not Known to the Petitioner, Principal Secretary,
Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
3. Mr. Anjani KUMar Son of not Known to the Petitioner Special Work Officer
Cum In-Charge J.P. Koshank, Department of Home, Government of Bihar,
Old Secretariate, Patna.
4. Mr. Umesh Prasad Rajak Son of not Known to the Petitioner Deputy
Secretary Cum Lok Suchna Padadhikari, Department of Home, Special
Branch, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Anjani Kumar Son of not Known to the Petitioner J.P. Senani Samman
Yojna, Home (Special) Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ratan Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Nasrul Huda Khan, SC-1
		Ms. Babita Kumari, AC to SC-1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Ratan Kumar, learned advocate for the
petitioner and Mr. Md. Nasrul Huda Khan, SC-1 for the State.

2. This contempt petition has been filed alleging
violation of the order dated 19.04.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No.
3487 of 2018, whereby the respondents have been directed to
consider the claim of the petitioner sympathetically with regard



to the benefit that is available to the participant of the J.P. movement.

3. Opposite Parties have filed the show cause, wherein, in paragraph Nos. 10 and 11 it has been stated that upon verification of the case of the petitioner's dependent status a sum of Rs.13,75,000/- has been credited in the account of the petitioner and the petitioner is also receiving pension regularly.

4. Learned advocate for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner is entitled to receive more than what has been given to the petitioner in terms of order dated 24.07.2024 passed in L.P.A. No. 1045 of 2019, which was preferred against the order passed in C.W.J.C. No. 3487 of 2018. Insofar as the direction in the writ petition is concerned, I find that the opposite parties have complied with the order passed in the writ petition by making payment of the petitioner to the tune of Rs.13,75,000/- and monthly pension to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, this contempt petition shall stand closed with a liberty granted to the petitioner that if the petitioner is of the view that she is entitled to receive more amount from the State Government under the same scheme in terms of the order passed in the L.P.A. mentioned above, it shall be open for the petitioner to move the Division Bench of this



Court for non-compliance of the order passed in the L.P.A., as
may be permitted under the law.

6. Accordingly, the contempt petition stands closed
and disposed of with the above observations.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Narendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

