

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70392 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-275 Year-2025 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

Vikash Ranjay @ Vikash Ranjan S/o Rama Chaudhary R/o vill and Mohalla -
Renu Kutir, Kushumpuram Colony, Gola Road, PS- Rupaspur, Distt.- Patna
... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Adv. Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv. Mr. Sanjay Parasmani, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 275 of 2025, registered for the offences under Sections 352, 351 (3), 85, 109, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Sections 3, 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act and Section 80(2) of the B.N.S. which was added later on.

3. As per the prosecution case, the daughter of the informant had married with the petitioner in the year 2022 and allegation is that the petitioner had been demanding Rs. 3 lakhs and a gold chain and the further allegation is that on non-fulfillment of the demand, the petitioner hanged the daughter of the informant and she died during her treatment in the hospital.

4. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. From the FIR, it is clear that the daughter of the informant was taken to the hospital by this petitioner and if the petitioner was guilty of making attempt to kill his wife he would not have called the informant and his in-laws to the hospital. The informant made the complaint with the police without ascertaining the facts and as the petitioner has been arrested from the hospital, for lack of treatment his wife died. There are two children from the marriage of the petitioner with the deceased and there is no complaint of earlier making any demand from the informant or any other person by the petitioner. Learned senior counsel further submits that from the FIR it also appears that the informant has alleged that the petitioner has been in illicit relationship with some other girl and perhaps for this reason the wife of the petitioner tried to commit suicide and she expired during her treatment. Learned senior counsel further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 19.04.2025. The charge-sheet has been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the fact and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the doubtful nature of accusation and further considering the



submission of charge-sheet, clean antecedent of the petitioner and period of custody, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge – II, Danapur, Patna in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 275 of 2025, subject to the condition laid down under Section 480(3) of the BNSS and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.
- (iii) In case of absence on three consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Siddharth Soni/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

