

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71596 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2025 Thana- GRIYAK District- Nalanda

Saurabh Kumar, S/o Uchit Singh, R/o Village - Katardih, P.S - Katrisarai,
District – Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ranjeet Patel, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Griyak (Katrisarai) PS. Case No.255 of 2025, dated-12.06.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 61(2), 338, 340(2), 126(2), 115(2), 132 of the B.N.S. and Sections 66 (C), and 66(D) of the IT Act.

3. As per allegation, information was received about the accused persons that they are involved in cyber crime and have gathered. A raid was conducted. Seven accused persons were arrested and various incriminating articles viz. mobile phones, ATM cards etc. were also recovered from their possession. It is further stated that the accused who were caught, on inquiry disclosed the names of four persons including the



Petitioner who had managed to escape.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the Petitioner was neither arrested on the spot nor any incriminating material has been recovered from the him. The only material available against the him is the so called confessional statement of the co-accused before the police which has not evidentiary value. He also submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated. 17.09.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 65297 of 2025.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the petition that the petitioner has been made accused in one other case in which he is on bail.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender



before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned Court below, in connection with Griyak (Katrissarai) PS. Case No.255 of 2025 , subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023 and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has criminal antecedents other than the disclosed one, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J.)

Chandan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

