

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71191 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-229 Year-2022 Thana- EKMA District- Saran

Sumit Kumar Rai @ Sumit Rai S/o- Janak Rai R/v- Radhiya Ps- Maharajganj
Dist- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Braj Kishore Pd., APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bibhuti Narayan, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
S.Tr.No. 627 of 2022 arising out of Ekma P.S. Case No. 229 of
2022 instituted for the offences under Sections 302, 201, 120B
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier vide order dated 11.10.2023 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 72457 of 2022 the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner was rejected by this Court considering the nature of



allegation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 04.07.2022 and there is no significant progress in the trial. Learned counsel further submitted that out of ten charge-sheeted witnesses, only seven witnesses have been examined and there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future and therefore, petitioner may be released on bail. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court and hence, taking into account the present stage of trial, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the



trial is not concluded within a period of three months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

