

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73068 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-163 Year-2021 Thana- JOGAPATTI District- West Champaran

Bhagwat Sah S/o Sarikha Sah R/o Village - Manopatti Puraina, P.S. -
Yogapatti, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the State	:	Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ashish Ranjan Garg, Advocate Mr. Rishabh Mishra, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 28-11-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in connection with Jogapatti P.S Case no. 163 of 2021 registered under sections 307, 341, 323, 324, 354, 379, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, nine named accused persons including the petitioner herein is said to have come at the door of the informant and started to abuse her. It is stated that the petitioner struck the husband of the informant with an iron rod seriously injuring him. Further, the petitioner and two others forced the informant on the ground and also disrobed her. The informant further states that the others also struck her son



with an iron rod.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the earlier application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 3.8.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. no.39395 of 2023, however, the instant application has been renewed on the grounds of the parties having entered into a compromise and further the injury which has been found to be grievous in nature is not on the head. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. The application is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant. It is submitted by learned counsel for the informant that the injury attributable to the petitioner has been opined to be grievous in nature and is on a vital part of the body. Further, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner has already been rejected earlier vide order dated 3.8.2023. There is no good ground to renew the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record, the earlier application for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the petitioner already having been rejected on merits vide order dated



3.8.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. no.39395 of 2023, the Court finds
no good ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The application is rejected.

8. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the learned
Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

