

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70322 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-774 Year-2024 Thana- HISUWA District- Nawada

=====

Pintu Singh @ Pintu Kumar S/O Anandi Singh Resident of Village- Ariyan,
P.S.- Hisua, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Man Mohan Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 80(a)/ 20(a)(i) of the NDPS Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that on secret information, the house of
Gaukaran Kumar and Navlesh Kumar was raided along with the
house of Rajendra Singh and petitioner along with other accused
persons on 22.12.2024 and four green plants of ganja were
recovered from the house of Rajendra Singh and two green
plants of ganja each from the house of Gaukaran Kumar,
Navlesh Kumar and petitioner.



4. It is next submitted that the date of occurrence is 22.12.2024 and the FIR came to be instituted on 23.12.2024 i.e. after a delay of one day without any plausible explanation. It is also submitted that even Section 50 of the NDPS Act was violated. It is also submitted that from perusal of the seizure list, it would manifest that the same records that ganja was recovered from a place near the house of the petitioner. It is further submitted that Rajendra Singh had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.19952 of 2025 and the same was allowed by an order dated 11.08.2025 passed by a learned Coordinate Bench, the learned counsel thus seeks anticipatory bail based on parity. It is also submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Nawada in connection with Hisua P. S. Case No.774 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

