

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16696 of 2025

=====

Bishuraj Singh Son of Ajay Kumar Singh Resident of Mohalla- Ward No.- 12,
Kesariya Tola, Police Station- Keshariya, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Urban Development and Housing, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
5. The Executive Engineer, Urban Development Division, East Champaran at Motihari.
6. The Nagar Panchayat, Kesariya, East Champaran, through its Executive Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
7. The Executive Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Kesariya, East Champaran at Motihari.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. P.K. Shahi, Advocate General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 10-11-2025 In the present writ petition, the petitioner prays for the
following relief/s:

*“(i) To issue a writ in the nature of mandamus,
or any other appropriate writ(s), order(s) or
direction(s) restraining the respondents and all
municipal bodies from splitting sanctioned
schemes into parts so as to execute them at the
departmental level in violation of prescribed
norms.*

*(ii) To direct the respondent authorities to
ensure strict compliance with the Government*



resolution/instruction mandating execution of works above Rs. 7.5 lakhs only through open tender processes.

(iii) To direct the respondents to take disciplinary action against officials found responsible for indulging in such illegal bifurcation of schemes, and to pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case."

2. In respect of the reliefs sought, it is observed that the petitioner has not furnished any specific instance to substantiate the allegation that illegal or *mala fide* practices are being carried out wherein sanctioned development schemes of higher value are allegedly being bifurcated into smaller components. Moreover, public works are governed by the policies and schemes framed by the State Government. We, therefore, do not find any merit in the present writ application.

3. Accordingly, the present writ application stands dismissed.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J.)

*Gaurav Kumar,
Ibrar/-*

U			
---	--	--	--

