

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65182 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2016 Thana- BIKRAMGANJ District- Rohtas

Krishna Kumar Singh @ Krishn Kumar Singh Son Of Shiv Narayan Singh
Resident Of Village- Dhavan, Post Office- Durgadih, Police Station-
Bikramganj, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Surendra Kumar Singh @ Surendra Singh Son of Dinkar Rai @ Nirmal Rai
Resident of Village- Dhavan, P.O.- Durgadih, P.S.- Bikramganj, District-
Rohtas
3. Davesb Singh Son of Late Raj Ballabh Singh Resident of Village- Dhavan,
P.O.- Durgadih, P.S.- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas
4. Shekhar Singh Son of Late Raj Ballabh Singh Resident of Village- Dhavan,
P.O.- Durgadih, P.S.- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas
5. Munna Singh Son of Late Birendra Singh Resident of Village- Dhavan, P.O.-
Durgadih, P.S.- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas
6. Dablu Singh Son of Late Birendra Singh Resident of Village- Dhavan, P.O.-
Durgadih, P.S.- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas
7. Kunal Singh Son of Devesh Singh Resident of Village- Dhavan, P.O.-
Durgadih, P.S.- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nagendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel for the State.

2. This is an application for quashing the order dated
09.07.2019 in part passed by the learned Additional Sessions
Judge XV, Rohtas at Sasaram in Sessions Trial No. 184 of 2019
arising out of Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 132 of 216 by which



the Court below has observed that there is no material on record to frame charge under Section 307 of the IPC and petition dated 13.06.2019 filed on behalf of the O.P. Nos. 2 to 7 under Section 227 and 228 Cr.P.C. was allowed.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to raise all the grounds at the trial.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Accordingly, this application is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

