

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76093 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-823 Year-2020 Thana- MUZFFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Rajeev Kumar @ Rajeev Kumar Rai Son of Raj Kumar Rai Resident of Village -Chaita, Police Station- Angarghat in the District of Samastipur. At present Flat No. 204 Near Hanuman Mandir Lado Saray South Delhi-110030 P.S- Mehrauli, District- South West Delhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ambika @ Choti D/O- Ravindra Nath Thakur Resident of Village- Kabra, PS.- Muzaffarpur in the District of Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Nityanand, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 17-04-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Muzaffarpur Complaint Case no.823 of 2020 registered under Sections 498A, 406, 313 and 494 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the complainant states that her marriage was solemnized with the petitioner in the year 2016. After some days of marriage, all accused persons including the petitioner herein started to assault her mentally and physically on account of non-fulfillment of demand of



dowry and ultimately ousted her from matrimonial house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier vide order dated 22.11.2024, the matter was sent to the Patna High Court, Mediation Center for reaching settlement between the parties and a report of the Mediation Center is available on the record.

5. A perusal of the same would go to show that memorandum of agreement has been reached between the parties in the Mediation Proceeding No. 1798 of 2024 dated 06.03.2025. It clearly shows that the parties have reached a “One Time Settlement” on the terms and conditions as indicated in the said agreement. The agreement has been signed by both the petitioner and the informant along with their respective counsels and it has been stated that the settlement is full and final and both parties shall not claim against each other in future in any manner.

6. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State. However, learned counsel for the informant has no objection for the same.

7. In such view of the matter and also taking into consideration the mediation report of settlement between the parties, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the



event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Muzaffarpur Complaint Case no.823 of 2020 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M, East Muzaffarpur, Dist-Muzaffarpur, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

