

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69833 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-236 Year-2025 Thana- MANJHAGARH District- Gopalganj

Umesh Shah S/O Late Mahadev Sah Resident of Village- Bhojpurwa, P.S-
Manjagarh, Distt.- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhanshu Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madan Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-10-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 115(2), 109,
303(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges
that petitioner along with other accused persons on 01.07.2025, at
about 08:00 p.m., came to her house while she was cooking and
petitioner assaulted her by an iron rod causing injury on her head and
hand and when her father-in-law came to save her the other accused
persons assaulted him causing injury on his head and even assaulted
her brother-in-law and Putul Devi snatched gold Mangalsutra from
her neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant and the petitioner are related to each other and are having land dispute. It is next submitted that on account of land dispute, an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that no doubt, petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant by rod causing injury on head and hand but then the injury caused on head is opined to be simple and the injury on hand is opined to be grievous but then the same is non-vital part of the body. It is next submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Manjhagarh P.S. Case No. 236 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

