

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69566 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-678 Year-2023 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

1. Premlata Devi, W/O Tejnarayan Singh, R/O Village- Safulhaganj, P.S.- Sasaram (T), District- Rohtas
2. Dharamshila Kuwar, W/O Late Satyendra Singh, R/O Village- Safulhaganj, P.S.- Sasaram (T), District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                                                        |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate<br>Mrs. Archana Singh, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Parmanand Prasad, APP                                              |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      07-10-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Sasaram Town (Darigaon O.P.) P.S. Case No. 678 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that three named accused persons have killed the son of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that the name of these



petitioners is not there in the F.I.R. Their names have surfaced in the re-statement of the informant. It has also been submitted that in re-statement, it has been submitted that these petitioners have conspired the occurrence. It has also been submitted that save and except the re-statement of the informant there is nothing against these petitioners. They are ladies. No overt act is alleged against them. They are in judicial custody since 19.07.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Sasaram Town (Darigaon O.P.) P.S. Case No. 678 of 2023.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

