

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73330 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-195 Year-2024 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District-
Madhepura

=====

Chhotu Kumar @ Chhotu Yadav S/o Sadanand Yadav R/o Village- Fatehpur
Ward No. 14, P.S.- Bihariganj, District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Anzarul Haque Sahara

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 213-11-20251. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 363 and
366(A) of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that her daughter aged about 19 years on 11.06.2024 said
that she was going to the kitchen and took her mobile, further at
4 A.M. the informant realized that her daughter is missing, thus
started searching and realized that her daughter had taken her
mobile also, further her another daughter received a call and the
caller introduced himself as Amarjeet and disclosed that victim



is with him, thus the instant FIR came to be instituted.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired during the course of investigation. It is next submitted that petitioner and victim were in love and they eloped and they also performed their marriage. It is next submitted that the informant herself in the FIR has disclosed the age of the victim as 19 years. It is also asserted and submitted that victim was a major on the date of occurrence. It is further submitted that victim came back and her statement was recorded under Section 183 BNSS, wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution but then the learned court assessed her age as 16 years as such the petitioner apprehends his arrest. It is also submitted that victim presently is staying with the petitioner after marriage.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case
is pending/successor court in connection with Udakishunganj
P.S. Case No.195/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

